## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )			
	Plaintiff,	8:01CR273 8:08CR180	
	vs.	DETENTION ORDER	
JOSE	E LUIS MONARREZ-CANO,		
	Defendant.		
A A	Order For Detention Ifter waiving a detention hearing pursual Intercept of the Court of the Cour	ant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform orders the above-named defendant detained	
TI	<ul> <li>Statement Of Reasons For The Detention         The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds:         X             By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.             X             By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person or the community.         </li> </ul>		
TI	C. Finding Of Fact The Court's findings are based on the evidence which was presented in court and contained in the Pretrial Services Report, and includes the following:		

## DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

	he defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at	
	court proceedings.	
	e of the current charges, the defendant was on: Supervised Release - 8:01CR273	
	Parole	
	Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	sentence.	
(c) Other Fac		
	The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to	
	leportation.	
	The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to	
	leportation if convicted.	
	The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement	
	BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.	
	Other:	
V (A) The set of a least set of the leas		
	d seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's	
release are as it	ollows: The nature of the charges in the Indictment.	
Y (5) Pobuttable Presumptions		
X (5) Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied		
	ebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)	
	finds the defendant has not rebutted:	
	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably	
	e appearance of the defendant as required and the safety	
	er person and the community because the Court finds that	
	involves:	
	A crime of violence; or	
	2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life	
	imprisonment or death; or	
<u>X</u> (	3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum	
·	penalty of 10 years or more; or	
(	4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two	
	or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3)	
	above, <u>and</u> the defendant has a prior conviction for one	
	of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which	
	is less than five years old and which was committed	
	while the defendant was on pretrial release.	
	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably	
	e appearance of the defendant as required and the safety	
	mmunity because the Court finds that there is probable	
cause to		
<u>X</u> (	1) That the defendant has committed a controlled	
	substance violation which has a maximum penalty of	
,	10 years or more.	
(	2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18	
	U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in	
	relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of	
	violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment	
	if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous	
	weapon or device).	

## **DETENTION ORDER - Page 3**

- The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: December 17, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge